App. F. IX-4

1928. (Mugrac)

File No. 19-28

Previous References
Mug 55-23 3, 6, 11 & 12.
" 1-527-10.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later References
Mug 407-28 Sec 7, 14, 22, 29, 32, 34, 36
56a, 62, 63, 64 & 65-
Mug 1SD 31-18 - Mug 197-36-1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for January 1929.

SUBJECT

Request action to the proposals (1) for making arrangements for the completion of the construction of the East of the Shri Kalasivara Swami temple and for taking legal steps against the defaulting contractors.

Dr. 1308 dated 8.1.1929 To the Mug. Comr

Approved the suggestions of the Mug. Comrs.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Muz)

NOTES.

File No. 19-28

FILE HEADING.

Car for the use of the Sri Kalaseswaraswami temple at Kalasa, Mudigere Taluk.

From

The Muz: Comr in Mysore

No 1710 of 27-28 Dated 10·7·28 Received

Diary No. 58	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that 3 more months' time may be given to Messrs C. Lakshmiah and Sons for the completion of the car; and that the contract may be cancelled if the car is not completed by that time and steps taken for the recovery of the advances.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In G.O. No. 367-8 / Muz 55 :: 1235 9 24, the construction of a new car for the temple at a cost not exceeding Rs 6000 was sanctioned and the work was directed to be entrusted to a successful bidder, after calling for tenders and half the estimated cost was permitted to be advanced after obtaining [illegible]

NOTES--First Sheet

WD 0560—GPB—560—9 8-22

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 19 of 192 C.

Serial No.

adequate security. Since the date of the Govt order this is the first report received in the matter

2. Without having any security as required by Govt, an advance of Rs 1,72,000 has been given to the firm of messrs Bhatia Gur Lakshmiah & Sons & the latter have not yet finished the work. The Exe Engr recommends that time for one more year may be given to the firm to complete the work, but the Mr of Specifications Road the Son of Bhatiagar Lakshmiah will not be able to finish the work as the special bill which his father had, ought to be claimed by the Govt & he recommends that 3 months' time may be given and that if the work is not finished by that time the contract may be cancelled and steps taken for the recovery of the amount advanced

3. It is nearly 4 years since the proposal was sanctioned & it is not the case that the work was undertaken by the firm is not reported. A sum of Rs 2,00,000 was paid to the firm in Feb 26 as will be seen from the Exe Engr's letter to M. C. dt. [illegible] but it is said to have been made on a bill for progress in Nov 26 was that on the basis

In further payment of the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01714—GPB —30,000—18-4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mny File No. 19 of 192 &

Serial No.

of the car was ready, (2) the figures of Dwarapalakas were nearing completion (3) Lion figures were also nearing completion. The stipulation was that the car should be delivered complete at the temple site in a satisfactory condition by 20.7.26.

4. The point for orders is whether the Mny: Comr's recommendation to give more [illegible] & Canal, the Contractor if the work is not done within the [illegible] months' time may be sanctioned. As it is evident that the work will not be finished by that time, steps should be taken for the recovery of the advance amount in question for consideration.

5. As a general question, the M e suggests that the work of construction of cars should not in future be entrusted to the P.W.D. & that the Dy. Comrs should get the work done through local workmen [illegible] appear to the Govt on this point now

6 Submitted for orders Secretary, orders on the Petition [illegible] Let me see the papers with a note [illegible]

[illegible]

The recommendation of the Mny Comr to grant 3 months' time to finish the work of Canal the Contractor [illegible] of the work is not finished within the time [illegible] approved, [illegible] proper [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

58

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 19 of 1926.

Serial No.

being taken as regards the advance already made before the Concession is shown. The Munsif may take the necessary steps in Consultation with the D.C.

8 As suggested by Mr. Mc, the execution of Cases under the Contract of the M/s Js may be tried.

(Illegible)

29/1/27

9 There are two points for orders; firstly, arrangement ~~was~~ to be made for completion of the construction of the Kalasa Temple Car through Messrs Chitragar Lakshmiah & Son or for the recovery of the advance already granted to the Firm and secondly, the general procedure to be adopted in future regarding the construction of cars to temples.

10 As regards the first point; it is a matter for regret to note that an aggregate sum of Rs 2,482 has been advanced to the Firm without obtaining adequate security. As will be seen from the letter of 24th April 1924, proposals were submitted by (me as) Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District, in consultation with the Executive Engineer, for calling for tenders and for giving the necessary advance to an approved contractor

508/1617

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 19 of 1927.

Serial No.

only after considering the offer of Messrs Chitragar Lakshmiah and Sons. How the contract was given to this Firm and an advance was made, without obtaining sufficient guarantee for the delivery of the car within the prescribed time require to be explained In the event of loss, the personal responsibility of the officer concerned will have to be enforced. In the circumstances of the case, there seems to be no option left but to take necessary coersive measures for the recovery of the advance after grant of some reasonable time as proposed by the Muzrai Commissioner.

11 Regarding the general question of procedure I do not think it is possible to pass orders on the Muzrai Commissioner's recommendation without a full investigation. A list of cars for which orders have been placed through the Public Works Department and which have not yet been completed may be called for and the stages in which they are may be examined with a view to necessary further action being taken.

JULY 1928 No. 462

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

58

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 19 of 1928.

Serial No.

1 Draft put up
SD
23.7.28
MM
23/7/28

18. Dom
28/7

2. To. over Corr² No. 210 D/26.7.28
Approves of his proposals & states that proper security shd be taken for the money recovered by the PWD & awaits the further report in the matter & decision
No 211 D/27.7.28 to the Engr for info & necy action.

3 From the Muz: Comr.,
letter no. 1710 of 27-28 D/3.9.28
Forwards a consolidated list of cars under construction or repairs on a large scale & the progress so far secured on these works by the P.W.D. & states that in future the Dy. Comrs may be authorized to get the work done as already recommended by him.
(6 The list forwarded by the Muz Comr shows that construction of cars in 10 cases have been entrusted to the P.W.D and that except in the cases of M/s E/

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 17 of 1928

Serial No.

From the Muz. Comr.,
Letter no. 1710 of 27.2.28 Dlg. 4.28
Forwards copy of letter from the Ch. Engr. soliciting orders in the matter of taking Legal proceedings against the defaulting contractor & requests that he may be authorized to make Departmental arrangts. for completing the cart.

17 It will be seen from the copy of the Ch. Engr's letter and it requires that the contractor's attention be drawn to the fact that unless he completes the work within the time specified in the agreement the Govt. will be entitled to take legal proceedings against him for recovering the damages & loss sustained by him.

18. With regard to the above the Muz. states that the M.V.O. which is required by the agreement may be taken from the security deposited by the contractor & that legal proceedings may be instituted to recover the balance of damages sustained by the Govt. after forfeiting the security deposit &

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

and Revenue Secretariat

762, App. F. III—2]

File No 14 of 1927.

Serial No.

Ex. Engr. Kadiri Dn will be required to furnish all particulars direct to the Govt Advocate -

1? The M.C requests to be authorised to make departmental arrangts for completing the case. May this be done -

2? Whether legal action should be taken by the P.W.D or Govt Advocate is in a formal for redress -

840
11/12

SD
12 12

WM
W/12/27

21 Both the M.C's proposals may be approved.

22 The C.E may arrange with Legal proceedings for the recovery by the contractor of the amounts advanced & Damages in consultation with the Asst R.

SD
member
Asst R.

11/12/27

23 Public Prosecutor of Skunga who is the Govt Pleader may be asked to take legal steps to recover the amounts -

29-12-27

24 A. Approved

25

26 Draft prepared.

WM 5/1/28

27

5/1/28

3969

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8 28

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc. File No. 19 of 1927-28

Serial No. 5

To M.C. No 1308 dt 8.1.29
States that Govt
approve of the M.C. proposals
re (1) Completion of the Cart Rd
recovery of the Advances
from the defaulting Contrs.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore.....24th.....July.....1928.

10.

No. 1710 Engg 27-8

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

MUZRAI D 58

Muz-19-28-1

To

P. Srinivasaiyangar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Political Department.

SIR,

I have the honour to refer to your letter No. 3307-9/27-28-19 dated 5th September 1927, and to forward herewith, for the information of Government, a copy of the enclosure received from the Chief Engineer, Ghats, relative to the construction of a pier for the use of the Malpe-Sivasamudram ferry across the Kaveri in Mudigere Taluk. The work was entrusted to the firm of Messrs. Chitragar Lakshmiah & Son. Owing to ill-health he was unable to be present at the execution of his father's contract. Hence Government directed that expert supervision should be taken, and the Public Works Department have advanced Rs.1000/- for the employment of a competent contractor without taking any security. In addition to the above sum a further payment of Rs.4500/- is still due. The Executive Engineer states that if Government give one year's time the contract is to be completed and adequate security will be obtained by which time he will be able to complete the work. I know nothing of the abilities of Messrs. Lakshmiah & Son, and I do not feel myself competent to give an opinion as to whether in the time the son will be able to complete the work. One thing is certain, that the skill that Lakshmiah possessed, cannot be expected from his son.

In these circumstances, I am of opinion that if Government think fit, six months' time may be given to the contractor, as no interest is charged on the advances. Before giving effect to this proposal, however, the contract should be cancelled and fresh terms for the repayment of the advances.

very necessary to obtain from him adequate security for the advance and payment already made to him by the Public Works Department.

3 In this connection, I may mention that the present system of -- getting the cars made through the Public Works Department has not been working satisfactorily. They do not seem to have any special agency for carrying on this work, and the work is entrusted to some ordinary contractor with the result that cost of the cars made --- through the agency have crumbled away after a very short time.

4 I beg to suggest therefore to Government that in future the Deputy Commissioners may be authorised to arrange the completion of the contract placing the orders themselves, and in many cases it may be possible to utilise the help of the local Devotees to get the work done at a very moderate cost and to the satisfaction of the local public. I am getting a list prepared of cars, orders for which have been placed through the Public Works Department and which have not yet been completed, and the same will be forwarded to you for information.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H Thomas Rs
Asst Engr

for Mukral Commissioner.

Abstract from Statement

9/7/18

96 dated the 3rd April 1938, from the Superintending Engineer, Shimoga Circle, to the Chief Engineer in Mysore, ----- Bangalore.

Subject:--"Construction of a new car for Sri Kalleshwara swami temple at Kalasa Kudigere Taluk.

In reply to your letter No. 857 dated the 31st March 1938, informing that I may dispose of the case of advance applied for by Messrs. Chitragar Lakshmana and Son with regard to the above matter, I have the honor to invite your kind reference to your letters Nos. 13668 and 7161 dated the 4th April 1935 and 30th December 1935 --- respectively addressed to the Executive Engineer, Kadur Division, and more specially to that portion of your above quoted letter --- reference which reads thus ("He may as ordered in para III of --- Government order No.367/Muz.Dy-71-2 dated 4th September 1934 pay an advance to the extent of Rs.3,000/- on the personal security of the proprietor of the Firm etc., and a further sum of Rs.1,000/- later on after sufficient progress is secured").

2. It will thus be seen that the whole contract was given by Government in the Muzrai Department in its original stages and --- advances have been given under their sanction. As such a batch - it is quite necessary to get fresh sanction now as the conditions terms have not been fulfilled by the contractor who has now asked for a final extension of time has given a programme for the work remaining to be done. In view of this circumstance, the final extension asked for may be given with Government sanction."

"Copy"

H Thimmaya by

For Muzrai Commissioner.

ed ~ June 1928 from the Chief Engineer
o h i Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:-- Construction of a car for the use of the Sri Kalaseswaraswami temple at Kalasa in the Kadur Taluk.

"I have the honor to invite reference to G.O.No.307, dated 5-9-34-2 dated the 5th September 1934, sanctioning the estimate amounting to -- Rs.6,000/- for the construction of a new car for the use of the Sri Kalaseswaraswami at Kalasa in the Kadur Taluk, the charge thereof being met from the funds at the credit of the temple and to state that tenders were accordingly called for, but as no single tender was received, the work was, with the approval of the Board of Trustees of the temple, entrusted to Messrs. Chitragar Industrial Co-operative Society, Bangalore and an agreement was entered into by the Firm, stipulating to complete the car and deliver it at the temple site in a satisfactory condition before 30th September 1936.

2. A reserve of Rs.500/- was ordered to be kept - side, but this does not seem to have been done. The only reserve in the hands of Government is Rs.35/- being the 5 per cent of the first bill -- amounting to Rs.482/- paid to the firm in November 1935. In February 1936, an advance of Rs.2,000/- was paid to the contractor on his -- watchamike obtained from him.

3. The work was being got done under the supervision of the -- Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division. The head of the car was reported to be nearing completion at the end of June 1936. The -- progress in November 1936 i.e., on the expiry of the period stipulated was as follows.--

(1) Body of the car was ready.
(2) 8 figures of Dwarapalaka were nearing completion.
(3) 4 Lion figures were nearing completion.

4. An extension of 3 months time was first granted in December 1936. Thereafter, as the work was not progressing, several reminders were issued and the last extension was sanctioned in July 1937, with the stipulation that no further extension would be granted. The period of extension expired on 30th September 1937 and it has been reported that the construction of the car is at a standstill.

5. The car is only half finished and it is not advisable to accept it as such, as it would cost a great deal of money to transport it all the way from Bangalore, even if it is finished by another [illegible].

6. An advance of Rs.2,000 was paid up till now and further a payment of Rs.182/- was made on the bill regarding [illegible]

[illegible] a total sum of Rs.2,482/- which is [illegible] amount viz., Rs.6,000/-. The contractor has fail[illegible] the terms of the contract, even after several extensions [illegible]

[illegible] the machine and that, if the contractor [illegible] to the contract under the terms of [illegible] at the prescribed time, the amount [illegible] by the contractor as Government [illegible] shall be launched [illegible] advance [illegible] to him.

[illegible] further, that the contractor [illegible] programme [illegible] a list -- [illegible] steps would be taken [illegible] within the [illegible]

[illegible] to push through [illegible] further [illegible] extension [illegible] returned in [illegible] Medical C."

[illegible]

[illegible]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mun.

File No. 4-26

Serial No. 1

No. 240.

Diary No. of letter replied to 58

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mun)

the *192*

Date of issue 26/7/28

Signed by Mr T.K. Someswara Iyr

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by 27/7

To The Mun. Commr in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. 1710 of 21-24 & 10-7-28 recommending that the firm of Messrs Chitrapur Saraswat Co. to whom the work of construction of a car to the Sri Kalaseswaraswami Temple at Kolar was entrusted, may be allowed a further time of 3 months for completion of the work & that if the work is not completed

over

by that time, the contract
may be cancelled & steps
taken for the recovery of
the advances made to the firm,
I am directed to state that
Govt approve of your proposal.
Before giving the extension
of time, adequate security
for the amount of Rs 2482
already made to the firm
by the P.W.D may be taken,
as proposed by you.

2. I have etc

Secretary
Fin. Deptt

Memo No. 91-7-28
Copy forwarded, with compliments,
to the Chief Engr. of Mysore
for information & necessary
action

By Order

Secretary
Fin. Deptt

SD
23.7.98
Appr

Pl. send Mr Jensal
further report promised
by you
will be awaited

17

No. 1710 Rev 3
27-8

Muzrai
OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore,...17th...November..... ...192 .

19

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai ~~Revenue~~ *Commissioner in Mysore*

FIN. SECRETARIAT
20 NOV 1928
MUZRAI
D763

FIN.
19 NOV. 1928
To No....

Rev - 19-28-3

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Referring to your letter No.210/Mus.19-28-2 dated the 26th July 1928 granting of this office of Local Fund letter No.C.1710 of 1927-28 dated 10th July 1928 in regard to the construction of the car for the use of the Sri Malasamudreshwara temple at Malasamudra and stating further that before giving extension of time adequate security for the amount of Rs.2482/- already advanced to the firm may be taken from them I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of letter No.4086 dated 7th November 1928 from the Chief Engineer reporting the present circumstances and requesting orders of Government in the matter of taking legal proceedings against the defaulting contractor as suggested by the Superintending Engineer Shimoga Circle and to request that I may be authorized to (1) make departmental arrangements for completing the car. As regards the (2) taking of legal proceedings against the contractor for recovery of the amount advanced, the P.W.D. which advanced the amount may be asked to take needful action.

The enclosures received with the Chief Engineer's letter are also submitted herewith for reference and favour of early orders.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Assistant Commissioner
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from Station)

November 1928 from the Chief ------
y r t the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"Subject:- Construction of a new car to Sri Kalaseswaraswamy temple at Kalasa in the Mudigere Taluk.

With reference to your letter No.1710/27-28 dated the 14th August 1928 on the above, I have the honor to state that it is reported by the Superintending Engineer, Shimoga Circle, that the contractor, to whom the car work was entrusted over three years ago, cannot be trusted to this work in spite of all the concessions shown, as he still wants a further extension of 8 months for completing the work after arranging for money elsewhere, and that legal proceedings might be taken against him to recover the Government advance outstanding against him. A ~~of~~copy of letter No.614 dated the19th October 1928 together with copies of its enclosures on the subject received from that officer is herein enclosed for information.

2. I request that orders of Government may kindly be obtained in the matter.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.614 dated the 19th October 1928, from the Superintending Engineer, Shimoga Circle, to the Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.

Construction of a new Car to Sri Kalaseswaraswamy temple at Kalasa, Mudigere Taluk.

With reference to your Memo No.1937 dated the 24th August 1928, forwarding copy of Muzrai Commissioner's letter No.1710/27-28 dated the 14th idem, on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith for your information, copy of Kadur Division Executive Engineer's letter No.313 dated the 4th instant, and of its enclosure. Contractor Messrs. Chitragar Lakshmaiya & Son., of Bangalore, to whom the car work was entrusted over 3 years ago, cannot be trusted to do this work in spite of all the concessions shown.

The Government Advocate may be requested to take legal proceedings against the contractor to recover the Government advance outstanding against him. The Executive Engineer, Kadur Division, will be asked to furnish all particulars direct to the Government Advocate.

2. The Executive Engineer may be authorised to get the work done by other agency or the Muzrai Commissioner requested to arrange for the work himself according to a recent Government Order.

Letter No.313 dated 4-10-28 from the Executive Engineer, Kadur Division, Chickmagalur, to the Superintending Engineer, Shimoga Circle, Shimoga.

Construction of new car to Kalaseswaraswamy temple at Kalasa & in Mudigere Taluk.

With reference to the Chief Engineer's No.3009-13/1 dated 27-9-28 calling for a detailed report regarding the short progress with reasons, I have the honour to state as follows:-

A precis on the above subject was submitted to you in this office No.885 of 31-10-27 for perusal and orders. As already stated

therein the firm of Messrs. Chitragar Lazmiah & Sons., Bangalore, were entrusted with the above work as approved in Chief Engineer's No.136888 dated 1-1-25. The contractors were also paid an advance of Rs.2,000/- as per orders of Chief Engineer in his No.7461 dated 26-12-25 on personal security of the proprietor of the firm and also after getting a mutchalika. The work was being done under the management of the Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division. The body of the car was reported to be nearing completion at the end of June 26, and 8 figures of Dwarapalakas and 4 Lions were nearing completion by the end of November 1926. The firm commenced asking for extension from August 26 for some reason or other. The extensions were being granted and approved piecemeal. The last extension of 6 months and a further advance of Rs.1,000/- were asked for by the firm on 11-3-28 as they could not complete the work in time on account of unforeseen and unhappy events in their family. Orders of Government granting further extension of three months to the firm were communicated in No.210/Muz.19-28-2 dated 26-7-28 to the Muzrai Commissioner.

The contractor was accordingly intimated in this office No.238 dated 1-9-28 the last extension of three months, and was also directed to present himself before the Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, for executing a fresh mutchalika on stamped paper with proper security as required by the Government. The Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, to whom a copy of the above reference with mutchalika was forwarded in this office No.239 dated 1-9-28 by registered post, states in his No.646 dated the 24th September 1928 that the proprietor of the firm had not turned up to execute fresh mutchalika. Simultaneously the proprietor of the firm states in his letter dated 22-9-28 received here on 25th September 1928 that the advance asked for by him was not given and that a further period of 8 months is required for completing the work after arranging for money elsewhere.

The time limit as per Government Order will end on 26-10-28 A copy of his letter is submitted herewith for information and orders. The Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, will be addressed to intimate immediately the work turned out by the firm as far. If approved the Government Advocate will be addressed to take legal steps for the recovery of the amount.

21

Letter No.4193 dated 22nd September 1928, from Chitragar Lakshmiah & Sons., Bangalore, to the Executive Engineer, Kadur Division.

With reference to your letter No.238 dated the 1st instant granting us an extension of time till the 23rd instant, for the completion of Kalasa Car, we beg to submit, with much regret, that we are unable to do so for reasons explained to you in person and in our previous letters. In our letter dated 24-3-28 (in reply to which we have received your letter under reference) we requested you to kindly sanction a further advance of Rs.1,000/- and six months time to complete the work; and we were anxiously waiting for your favourable reply. But, unfortunately, we have received this after a lapse of nearly six months, that no further advance can be granted.

Under these circumstances, we beg to submit that we have been making arrangements to get the money elsewhere and complete the work as early as possible. We therefore request you to kindly grant us a further extension of eight months before which time we promise to finish the work.

Thanking you in anticipation of a favourable and an early reply, we beg to remain, dear Sir.

"C o p i e s."

for Chief Engineer of Mysore.

KMR.

22

CT..... .....

....

....

Section Mysore

File No. 19 — 28

Serial No. 30 4

No. 1 Saf ---

Diary No. of letter replied to.. .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Sec in Mysore.

.....

Date of issue 9/1/29

Signed by .....

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 1740/27-28 dated 17th/19th Nov: 1928 ~~on the matter of the construction~~ requesting sanction (1) for making departmental arrangements for completing the construction of the car intended for the use of the Sri Kalatesvara swamy temple at Kalasa, Mudigere Taluk and (2) for taking legal proceedings against the defaulting contractor as hinted

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

to the Superintending Engineer, Shimoga circle,
I am directed to state that
Govt. approve of ~~your~~ both
of your proposals. The Chief
Engineer may be requested to
instruct the P.P. Shimoga also
to do a part. Please also take legal
steps for the recovery of the amounts
advanced to the Contractor or
damages in consultation with
the L.R.

Manuscript.
W. 5/1
to Secretary.
Law Dept.

W
5/1/29.

23 pages